



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FL	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/626,087	07/24/2003		Michael James McDermott	ROC920030140US1	9969
30206	7590	01/25/2006		EXAMINER	
IBM CORP			MIZRAHI, DIANE D		
3605 HIGHV				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ROCHESTER, MN 55901-7829				2165	
				DATE MAILED: 01/25/200	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Assistant Communication	10/626,087	MCDERMOTT ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	DIANE D. MIZRAHI	2165					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH: , cause the application to become ABAN	TION.  be timely filed  from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters	, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 24 July 2003 is/are: a)[	☐ accepted or b)区 objected	I to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•	•					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached C	ffice Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ul>		19(a)-(d) or (f).					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		lication No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been re	ceived in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not red	ceived.					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		mary (PTO-413) lail Date					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7-24</u> -03		mal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/626,087 Page 2

Art Unit: 2165

#### III. DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-21 are presented for examination and are pending.

#### Drawings

The Examiner contends that the drawings submitted on July 24, 2003 are acceptable for examination proceedings. These are informal drawings.

### Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: There appears to be missing information (see specification, "Related Application").

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefore, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claims are directed to a non-statutory subject matter, specifically, the claims are not directed towards the final result that is "useful, tangible and concrete.

(See State Street, 149 F.3d at 1373-74 USPQ2d at 1601-02).

According to the New Guidelines of October 26, 2005, which states that "A claim limited to a machine or manufacture, which has a practical application, is statutory. In most cases a claim to a specific machine or manufacture will have a practical application. See Alappat, 33 F.3d at 1544, 31 USPQ2d at 1557)... a specific machine to produce a useful, concrete, and tangible result and State Street, 149 F.3d at 1373-74 USPQ2d at 1601-02).

(Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility <a href="http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?t=mdmd7pbab.0.kbg76pbab.p9qiiibab.7440&p=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.uspto.gov%2Fweb%2Foffices%2Fpac%2Fdapp%2Fopla%2Fpreognotice%2Fguidelines101 20051026.pdf">http%3A%2F%2Fwww.uspto.gov%2Fweb%2Foffices%2Fpac%2Fdapp%2Fopla%2Fpreognotice%2Fguidelines101 20051026.pdf</a>)

The Supreme Court has repeatedly held that abstractions are not patentable. "An idea of itself is not patentable". Rubber-Tip Pencil Co. V. Howard, 20 Wall. 498, 07. Phenomena of nature, though just discovered, mental processes, abstract intellectual concepts are not patentable, as they are the basic tools of scientific and technological work Gottschalk v. Benson, 175 USPQ 673, 675 (S Ct 1972). It is a common place that laws of nature, physical phenomena, and abstract ideas are not patentable subject matter Parker v. Flook, 197 USPQ 193, 201 (S Ct 1978). A process that consists solely of the manipulation of a data structure is not concrete or tangible. See In re

Application/Control Number: 10/626,087 Page 4

**Art Unit: 2165** 

1994). See also Schrader, 22 F.3d at 295, 30 USPQ2d at 1459.

Also, Claims 15-21 are not limited to tangible embodiments. In view of Applicant's disclosure, specification page [13], line [12], the medium is not limited to tangible embodiments, instead being defined as including both tangible embodiments and intangible embodiments (e.g., [signal bearing]). As such, the claim is not limited to statutory subject matter and is therefore non-statutory.

Therefore, Examiner believes that the above listed claims are nonstatutory.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/626,087

**Art Unit: 2165** 

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by James R. Bergsten (U.S. Publication No. 20030204539 A1 and Bergsten hereinafter).

Regarding Claim 1, Bergsten teaches replacing an old member with a new member, wherein the old member is assigned to a subgroup and controls at least one resource[0007]; determining if the new member is within the subgroup[0007]; if the new member is within the subgroup, configuring the new member to control resources that were controlled by the old member[0039]; if the new member is not in the subgroup, replacing the at least one resource with resources controlled by the new member[0039]; and configuring a computing group communications system to accommodate replacement of the old member by the new member[0035].

Regarding Claim 2, Bergsten teaches ... is a primary member of a computing system group [0032][0034].

Regarding Claim 3, Bergsten teaches in response to a failure of the old member [0021][0035].

Regarding Claim 4, Bergsten teaches new member is in the subgroup, the old member was a primary member and the new member becomes a backup member [0035].

Regarding Claim 5, Bergsten old member is a backup member [0021] [page 4].

Application/Control Number: 10/626,087

Art Unit: 2165

Regarding Claim 6, Bergsten in response to a failure of at least one of the at least one resources [0033].

Regarding Claim 7, Bergsten teaches based upon a new resource that is selected to be substituted for the at least one of the at least one resources [0033].

Regarding Claims 8-21, these claims are similar in scope to the rejected claims above and are therefore rejected as set forth above.

#### Other Prior Art Made of Record

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure. U.S. patents and U.S. patent application publications will not be supplied with Office actions. Examiners advises the Applicant that the cited U.S. patents and patent application publications are available for download via the Office's PAIR. As an alternate source, all U.S. patents and patent application publications are available on the USPTO web site (www.uspto.gov), from the Office of Public Records and from commercial sources. For the use of the Office's PAIR system, Applicants may refer to the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at <a href="http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html">http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html</a> or 1-866-217-9197.

Art Unit: 2165

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Diane D. Mizrahi whose telephone number is 571-272-4079. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Gaffin can be reached on (571) 272-4146. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 305-3900 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Diane Mizrahi

Primary Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2100

January 21, 2005